


## REMARKS

Applicants' representative hereby provisionally elects the invention of alleged Group I, Claims 1-19, for further prosecution on the merits. This restriction is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested. No undue burden will be placed on the Examiner to search for and examine all of the alleged species especially given the significant overlap of searching classes and subclasses. Furthermore, it is requested that the Examiner recombine Claim Groups I and II given the amendment to Claim 20 (which is not being made for patentability reasons).

Furthermore, the alleged Species B, Figure 4, is elected and the following claims read on the elected species of Figure 4: 1-22 and 24-31. The following claims are generic to all of the alleged species: 1-4, 6-9, 20, 22 and 24-31. Moreover, at least the following additional claims are generic to the alleged species of Figures 3 and 4: 12-19 and 21. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Monte L. Falcoff, Reg. No. 37,617

Dated: Oct - 6, 2005

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

MLF/cmg